

REGULAR MEETING OF THE  
OKLAHOMA WORKERS' COMPENSATION  
COMMISSION

**APPEALS**

Friday, December 16, 2022  
9:00 a.m.  
Commission En Banc Courtroom  
1915 N. Stiles Ave.  
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma  
[www.wcc.ok.gov](http://www.wcc.ok.gov)

---

**AGENDA**

---

**CALL TO ORDER.....Commission's Chair, Chairman Russell**  
**ROLL CALL.....Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly**  
**BUSINESS.....Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly**

**\*STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE BY CHAIRMAN\***

**THE FOLLOWING MATTERS ARE PRESENTED FOR CONSIDERATION AND ACTION, IF ANY, DEEMED APPROPRIATE BY THE COMMISSION**

**A. MINUTES:**

- The drafted Minutes of the Special Appeals Meeting of November 18, 2022 will be considered for approval.

**B. Appeal Hearings before the Commission En Banc from Orders Issued by the Commission's Administrative Law Judges**

The hearings before the Commission en banc will be conducted pursuant to the authority and jurisdiction of the Administrative Workers' Compensation Act, Title 85A O.S. § 1 et seq., and the Workers' Compensation Commission's Permanent Rules, OAC 810. The Commissioners may recess for lunch.

The procedure for the hearings before the Commission en banc is as follows:

- Each side will be allowed ten (10) minutes for oral arguments.
- The appellant will present first. Appellant may divide his or her ten minutes for argument, allowing a portion of that time for rebuttal.
- Both parties are subject to questioning by Commissioners.

**1. Diana Laguna v. Tulsa Public Schools, File #CM3-2019-00046Q**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Claimant is representing herself, pro se, and Catharine M. Bashaw is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

**2. Faron Stanley v. MITF, File #CM3F-2018-04891J**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Robert A. Flynn is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Stefan Wenzel is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

**3. Catherine Randolph v. MITF, File #CM3F-2018-07438Y**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge McMillin. Joseph C. Biscone II is attorney of record for the Claimant and Stefan Wenzel is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

**4. Charles Kirkendall v. Herc Rentals Inc. and Herc Holdings Inc. (OWN RISK), File #CM3-2020-06707K**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Lawyer. Robert A. Flynn is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Malcolm D. Smith Jr. is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

**5. Dana Benton v. MITF, File #CM3F-2018-04713A**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Brian R. Berry and Margaret E. Otterson are the attorneys of record for the Claimant. Cyrus Nathaniel Lawyer is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

**6. Paul Greene v. Washington County Sheriff's Department and Compsource Mutual Ins. Co. (FKA COMPSOURCE OKLAHOMA), File #CM3-2019-05093F**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Lawyer. Kathryn Black is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Amanda K. Naifeh is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

**7. Brian Jones v. Scepter Manufacturing LLC and Ace American Insurance Co., File #CM3-2020-01222J**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Ray Lahann is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Steven E. Hanna is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the

Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

**8. Bill Todd v. Elite Properties and WESCO Insurance Co. (AMTRUST), File #CM3-2021-01696J**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge McMillin. D. Eliot Yaffe is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Heather A. Lehman Fagan is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

**9. Gary Gunn v. MITF, File #CM3F-2020-04181A**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Ray Lahann is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Cyrus Nathaniel Lawyer is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

**10. James Lindsey v. Western Equipment LLC and Old Glory Insurance Co., File #CM3-2021-01993A**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge McMillin. Daniel M. Davis is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Cathy C. Barnum is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

**C. Commission Consideration of Adoption of Final Order in the Following Cases:**

**1. Allan Hare v. MITF, File #CM3F-2019-04761J**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Curtin. Ray Lahann is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Stefan Wenzel is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on November 18, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commission took this case under advisement.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

**2. James Crittenden Jr. v. MITF, File #CM3F-2019-07602A**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Blodgett. McCaffrey & Associates are the attorneys of record for the Claimant and Michael A. Fagan is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on November 18, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commission took this case under advisement.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

**3. Raymond Miller v. La Quinta Inn, Global Core Stillwater LLC, and Employers Preferred Insurance Co. (FKA AMCOMP PREFERRED), File #CM3-2020-00616F**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Michael R. Green appeared for the Claimant and Kelley Bodell appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on May 20, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commission took this case under advisement.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

**4. Wanda Gibby v. MITF, File #CM3F-2019-07638F**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Blodgett. J. Kord Hammert appeared for the Claimant and Michael A. Fagan appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on May 20, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commission took this case under advisement.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

**5. Joseph Handley v. Nationwide Fixture Installation Inc. and Hanover American Insurance Co., File #CM3-2019-00808J**

Both parties filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Darrel Paul appeared for the Claimant and Rose M. Sloan appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on June 24, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commissioner Biggs moved to take preliminary action to affirm in-part, in regards to consequential injury, and remand in-part, in regards to intervening accident, and remand back for determination of medical treatment and instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

**6. Norma Chavez v. Lopez Foods Inc. (OWN RISK), File #CM3A-2017-07276R**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. W. Wicker and James G. Devinney appeared for the Claimant. Norman Lemonik appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on August 26, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral arguments, and deliberating, Commissioner Biggs moved to take preliminary action to affirm the decision of the Administrative Law Judge's Order and instructed the law clerk or other staff member to draft a proposed order with finding of facts and conclusions of law, to be considered for continued action at a future meeting.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

**7. Robert Page v. City of Tulsa (OWN RISK #10435), File #CM3-2020-06114Y**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Micah Felton appeared for the Claimant and Cyrus Nathaniel Lawyer appeared record for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on September 16, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commission took this case under advisement.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

**8. Nancy Gonzalez-Rubio v. Pacific Painting Co. Inc. and Continental National Indemnity Co., File #CM3A-2019-04881X**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Milly Daniels appeared for the Claimant and Nicole S. Bryant appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on September 16, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral arguments, and deliberating, Commissioner Tilly moved to take preliminary action to vacate and remand the decision of the Administrative Law Judge's Order and instructed the law clerk or other staff member to draft a proposed order with finding of facts and conclusions of law, to be considered for continued action at a future meeting.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

**ADJOURNMENT.....Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly**